

Women in politics

Tony White
Monday, 26 March 2007

More women in politics.

A topic in the news where I live at the moment is the number of women in politics in the state where I live and around the world. Apparently there are more female heads of state now than there has ever been before. And that grand total is - 16.

But to me it does not make sense.

Typical masculine qualities are = assertive, competitive, tough, logical, unemotional, aggressive, dominating, lacking insight, unoriginal, vulgar.

Typical feminine qualities are = domestic, creative, individualistic, sensitive, intelligent, passive, idealistic, conciliatory, peace loving, sociable.

I am not saying that women are this and men are that. These lists are what are typically described as masculine and feminine qualities. Males and females have qualities from both lists. The more feminine qualities you have the feminine you will be and seem to others to be a feminine character. The same for masculine qualities

So what does it mean to count the number of females in politics (and commerce for that matter). It means the number of people who are anatomically female and at the upper levels of politics and business. But what does that mean in real terms.



To be at such a level the LCD (Lowest common denominator) rules. You have to work very long hours, have to see little of your partner and children, suppress your feelings, be a bit paranoid and get them before they get you, be ruthless, be

calculating, be competitive, etc. For a woman to succeed in upper level politics she has to think, feel and act like a man naturally does.

Do you actually want to live like that? This list seems to pretty well much fit with the masculine qualities. If a person goes to a job in the board room of a large corporation and is sensitive, conciliatory, domestic, peace loving, how long are they going to last? I would guess that they will not be back for their second day on the job.

So when one counts up the number of women in politics they are counting those people who are anatomically female and psychologically male. So that person is female but in another sense has a predominance of masculine qualities. It would seem more accurate to count those numbers who have more feminine personality traits than masculine traits. I would suggest that if you did that the grand total of female heads of state in the world - 0.

To simply add up people who are anatomically female has no meaning like one could count up the number of people in politics who had red hair. One could do that but it means nothing.

And if you added up the men who were in politics they would be the men who are anatomically male and masculine in personality as well. So its not the anatomical female who isn't there it is the person who has a predominance of feminine characteristics who isn't there. And that would include many men.